









UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/989,965	11/21/2001	Philip G. Martin	56732US002	1669
32692	7590 02/02/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			WEISS JR, JOSEPH FRANCIS	
<del> </del>	MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$
Advisory Action	09/989,965	MARTIN ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph F Weiss Jr.	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a second control of the contr	cation. A proper reply ch places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing do b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the stat	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See  36(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP  xtension fee sion fee under as set forth in
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, ma	ay reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	٠.	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) 🛭 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims	i. ·
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): The 35 USC 112 rejection	ons to claims 57-58.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	imendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	. , , ,	· <del></del>	ıd. an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11-52, 54-98</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b)  disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	· .	
10. ☐ Other:		Aaron J. Lewis Primary Examiner	·

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/989,965

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The arguments toward granting patentable weight to the pre-amble would require further search and/or consideration. The modification of the definition of what is an exhalation valve appears to present new matter...